

# Journal of Applied Biosciences 22: 1312 - 1319 ISSN 1997-5902

# Utilization of Lactobacillus fermentum and Saccharomyces cerevisiae as starter cultures in the production of 'dolo'

Glover<sup>1\*</sup>, R. L. K., Sawadogo- Lingani<sup>2</sup>, H., Diawara<sup>2</sup>, B., Jespersen<sup>3</sup> L. and Jakobsen<sup>3</sup> M.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Biology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University for Development Studies (UDS), P.O.Box 24 Navrongo, Ghana; <sup>2</sup>Department de Technologie Alimentaire (DTA), IRSAT-CNRST, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso; <sup>3</sup>Department of Food Science, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Copenhagen, DK-1958 Frederiksberg C, Denmark.

\* Corresponding author e-mail: richkwame @yahoo.com; Tel: +233 244 753349 / 20 8174175

Published online at www.biosciences.elewa.org on October 7, 2009

### **ABSTRACT**

Objective: The present study was carried out to evaluate the potential of representative strains of predominant lactic acid bacteria and yeast as starter cultures in the production of *dolo* (a type of sorghum beer) of comparable consistency and organoleptic quality to the commercial product in Burkina Faso.

Methodology and results: Two strains each of Lactobacillus fermentum and Saccharomyces cerevisiae from previous studies (Sawadogo- Lingani et al., 2007; Glover et al., 2005) were used as starter cultures in producing dolo both under laboratory conditions, and in the field (pilot plant). pH, lactic acid bacteria and yeast growth were determined at the beginning and end of fermentation. Products were subjected to sensory evaluation for taste, aroma and mouth feel and results analyzed using the Students (t) test. Dolo produced from starter combinations of one strain of L. fermentum and both S. cerevisiae strains had taste and aroma that did not differ significantly from the commercial product, while single isolate combinations gave better mouth feel.

Conclusions and applications of findings: Single-strain and double-strain combinations of lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and yeast starter cultures could be used successfully to produce *dolo* of different quality indices. Combinations of either LAB strain with one yeast strain (AC17) produced *dolo* that was more comparable to the commercial product than combinations with the other yeast strain (TK25). LAB strain ZN4.1 in combination with yeast strain AC17 gave better *dolo* in all attributes evaluated. On the whole, *dolo* produced with double-strain combinations of LAB and yeast under laboratory conditions possessed consistent organoleptic quality and stability comparable to the commercial product. Varied combinations of these starters should be investigated in order to determine a suitable combination for producing *dolo* possessing all the desired organoleptic qualities and consistency.

Key words: Lactobacillus fermentum, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, starter cultures, dolo

### INTRODUCTION

Dolo, a type of sorghum beer, is a traditional (Konlani et al., 1996). Sorghum beer is also alcoholic beverage produced in Burkina Faso produced in several sub-Saharan African



countries, e.g. Nigeria, Ghana, Benin and Togo where it is referred to variously as *pito*, *burukutu*, *sulom*, *dam*, *akadamu*, *merissa and sekete* (Demuyakor & Ohta, 1991; Sanni, 1993; Owuama, 1997; Sanni *et al.*, 1999). Like many other indigenous fermented foods and beverages, sorghum beer contributes significantly to the diet of the people in the regions where it is produced (Sanni, 1993; van der Aa Kühle *et al.*, 2001). *Dolo* is golden-yellow to dark-brown in color with taste varying from slightly sweet to sour and contains lactic acid, unfermented sugars, amino acids and 2-3% alcohol (v/v), as well as vitamins and proteins (Ekundayo, 1969; Bansah, 1990).

Since it is closely related to *kaffir* beer on which extensive nutritional studies have been conducted (Aucamp *et al.*, 1961; Novellie, 1963; Sanni, 1993), it would be expected that *dolo* is a good source of B vitamins, including thiamine, folic acid, riboflavin, and nicotinic acid (Platt, 1964; Ekundayo, 1977). The processing of *dolo* basically involves malting, drying, milling, souring (lactic fermentation), boiling, mashing and alcoholic fermentation (Haggblade & Holzapfel, 1989) in which variations may occur depending on local practices. The alcoholic fermentation is achieved by inoculating the wort with either a portion of a previous brew or dried yeast harvested from a previous brew (Sefa-Dedeh *et al.*, 1999).

The production of dolo, like pito includes a 12-36 h spontaneous mixed fermentation involving lactic acid bacteria and yeasts (Sefa-Dedeh, 1991; Sefa-Dedeh et al., 1999). Bacteria of the genera Lactobacillus and Leuconostoc spp. are the major contributors to the acidity of pito during the initial souring stage (Sefa-Dedeh, 1991). Most of the acid produced is lactic acid with only traces of acetic and formic acid being present (Sefa-Dedeh, 1991). Lactobacillus fermentum (264 strains) was found to be the dominant lactic acid bacteria species during the entire process of dolo production, particularly the acidification (souring) stage together with some Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. delbrueckii (9 strains), Pediococcus acidilactici (7 strains), Lactococcus lactis (1 strain) and Leuconostoc lactis (1 strain) (Sawadogo-Lingani et al., 2007). Demuyakor and Ohta (1991) reported veasts associated with alcoholic fermentation of Konkomba and Nandom pito in the northern regions of Ghana as Saccharomyces cerevisiae (33%), Kluyveromyces spp. (23%), Candida spp. (17%) and members of six other genera. Sefa-Dedeh et al. (1999) also reported the isolation and characterization of 21 yeast strains belonging to 7 genera from Dagarti pito produced in the Greater Accra Region of Ghana. These comprised eight S. cerevisiae, four Candida tropicalis, three Torulaspora delbrueckii, two Kloeckera apiculata, two Hansenula anomala, one Schizosaccharomyces pombe and Kluyveromyces africanus. These results, while agreeing on the dominance of S. cerevisiae, seem to suggest some locality-dependent diversity in populations involved in alcoholic veast fermentation of pito in Ghana.

Sanni (1993) and Sanni and Lonner (1993) attributed the diversity of the associated yeast micro flora of traditional alcoholic beverages including pito, in sub-Saharan Africa, to the spontaneous nature of the fermentation, sources. and types of ingredients used. This assertion was countered by the findings of a (van der Aa Kühle et al., 2001), which highlighted the almost exclusive occurrence of Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains in yeast associated with production of Dagarti pito and dolo from northern Ghana and neighboring Burkina Faso, respectively. Another study by et al. (2005) also reported that Glover Saccharomyces cerevisiae predominate alcoholic fermentation of sorghum wort during production of pito within eight geographical regions of Ghana and dolo at four production sites in Burkina Faso. That study also investigated technological properties of representative strains. including sensory attributes of pito, to evaluate their potential for starter culture development common to Ghana.

Even though lactic acid bacteria and yeast associated with *dolo* production in Burkina Faso have been variously characterized, no link seems to be established between the predominant species and *dolo* quality. The present study evaluated the potential of representative strains of predominant lactic acid bacteria and yeast as



starters in the production of dolo of comparable consistency and organoleptic quality to the

traditional product in Burkina Faso.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Microbial isolates**: Two *Lactobacillus fermentum* strains (ZN4.1 and SF6.1a) previously isolated from dolo in Burkina Faso and two strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (AC17 and TK25) isolated from two pito production sites in Ghana were used.

**Preparation of inocula**: The lactic acid bacteria (LAB) stock cultures were each subcultured at 37°C for 48 hours on de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) agar (MERCK, Darmstadt, Germany) followed by two successive rounds of subculturing in MRS broth (MERCK) with incubation at 37°C for 24 hours and 16-18 hours, respectively. Cells were then harvested by centrifuging at 3900 x g for 20 min and pellets reconstituted in sterile distilled water and held at room temperature (25°C) until use. The yeast stock cultures were grown in Malt extract-Yeast extract-Glucose-Peptone (MYGP) broth (OXOID, Hampshire, England) incubated at 30°C for 48 hours with agitation at 120-160 revolutions per minute (rpm). Cells were subsequently harvested by centrifuging at 3000 x g for 5 minutes, the pellets reconstituted in sterile distilled water and held at room temperature until use.

### Fermentation trials

Laboratory trials: Dolo mash was collected hot and aseptically from a commercial dolo producer at Samandin, a suburb of Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. The mash was reheated to boil and left to cool. Acidification and souring were achieved by inoculating 1000ml lots of cooled mash with 10 ml of each LAB strain and incubating at room temperature overnight (9-The clear supernatant (wort) was subsequently decanted and boiled for 30-60 minutes to arrest the acidification process as well as concentrate the wort. At the beginning  $(t_0)$  and end  $(t_{10})$  of acidification, pH was determined using the pH meter (JENWAY 3310, Jenway Ltd., Essex, Cambridge, UK) while LAB cell growth was checked in total counts by microscopy (OLYMPUS CH30, Olympus Opt. Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) and a counting chamber (Bright-Line Haemacytometer, REICHERT, Buffalo, NY, USA). Alcoholic fermentation was achieved by adding 50ml of each yeast strain to a 500ml lot of cooled wort and incubating at room temperature overnight (11-12 hours). pH and yeast cell growth were again checked at the beginning  $(t_0)$  and end  $(t_{12})$  of the alcoholic fermentation process, using the same methods as for the LAB.

Field (pilot plant) trials: Hot mash from a commercial dolo producer at Samandin was dished out with clean calabashes into clean, sterile, covered pots and allowed to cool. For acidification, 160ml of each LAB inoculum was added to a 16L of cooled mash and incubated at 25°C for 9-10 hours. The clear supernatant (wort) was subsequently decanted into clean, covered pots, boiled for 3-4 hours to arrest acidification and ensure concentration, and then cooled. pH and LAB cell growth were checked at the beginning  $(t_0)$  and end  $(t_{10})$  of acidification (as in laboratory trials). Five hundred milliliters of the cell suspension of each yeast strain was inoculated into a 5L lot of cooled wort and incubated at 25°C overnight (11-12 hours) for alcoholic fermentation to take place. pH and yeast cell growth were again checked at the beginning  $(t_0)$  and end  $(t_{12})$  of the alcoholic fermentation process (as in laboratory trials). Multiple yeast strain inoculum pitching was also done involving the use of both yeast strains at the same time.

All trials were carried out in duplicate and repeated once. Results of all analysis therefore represent means of four replicate trial fermentations with duplicate measurements.

Sensory evaluation of dolo: Samples of dolo produced under both laboratory and field conditions were evaluated by a four-member panel of non-professional assessors (but conversant with dolo) at the Sensory Evaluation Unit of the Département de Technologie Alimentaire (DTA), Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. Panelists were asked to rate samples for taste, aroma and mouth feel compared to traditional dolo, using a ten-point Hedonic scale ranging from (0--not different and 10--extremely different from commercial dolo). Categorization as different meant worse than the commercial product. The order of presentation of samples was randomized.

**Statistical analysis:** The evaluation was repeated once for each type of trial and results were subjected to the Student Test (t) analysis.



### **RESULTS**

pH and cell growth: The pH of *dolo* wort reduced from 5.0 to 4.9 immediately upon addition of the LAB strains, stabilizing at between 3.6 and 3.4 at the end of acidification in 10 hours for the two strains (Table 1). A final pH of 3.4 was achieved at the end of the alcoholic fermentation with each yeast strain, this being close to the value of 3.5 recorded for traditional *dolo*.

LAB numbers increased significantly from 10<sup>6</sup> to 10<sup>9</sup>cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> during the acidification process while yeast cell numbers only increased slightly from 10<sup>6</sup> to 10<sup>7</sup>cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> during alcoholic fermentation. The yeast cell numbers however compare favourably to the numbers found in traditional *dolo*.

**Table 1:** pH of growth medium and cell numbers of starters during fermentation of *dolo* in laboratory trials.

Parameter	Fermentation Time (t)	<i>Dolo</i> mash	LAB ferm	entations	Fermentation Time (t)		c (Yeast) tations	Commercial Dolo
			ZN4.1	SF6.1a		AC17	TK25	
PH	to	$5.0 \pm 0.07$	$4.9 \pm 0.05$	$4.9 \pm 0.05$	$t_0$	$3.5 \pm 0.09$	$3.4 \pm 0.02$	-
	<b>t</b> <sub>10</sub>		3.6±0.29	3.4±0.19	t <sub>12</sub>	$3.5 \pm 0.05$	$3.4 \pm 0.06$	3.5±0.1
Cell density (cfu	t <sub>0</sub>	0.0	0.9±0.38	1.2±1.15	to	4.0±1.13	0.9±0.19	-
ml <sup>-1</sup> )			$(x 10^6)$	$(x 10^6)$		$(x 10^6)$	$(x 10^6)$	
	<b>t</b> <sub>12</sub>		1.5±0.00	1.4±0.00	<b>t</b> <sub>12</sub>	6.0±1.36	2.7±2.07	3.0±0.00 (x
			$(x 10^9)$	$(x 10^9)$		$(x 10^7)$	$(x 10^7)$	10 <sup>7</sup> )

LAB = lactic acid bacteria (*Lactobacillus fermentum* strains); Yeast = (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains)  $t_0$  = fermentation time at 0hour;  $t_{10}$  = fermentation time at 10hours;  $t_{12}$  = fermentation time at 12hours; values shown indicate mean of four independent readings  $\pm$  SD.

As in the laboratory trials, pH of mash in the field trials decreased from an initial 5.0 to 3.5 with both LAB strains while cell number increased sharply from 10<sup>6</sup> to 10<sup>9</sup>cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> during acidification. A similar situation was observed for the alcoholic fermentation where pH of the final product stabilized at 3.5 and yeast cell number reached 10<sup>7</sup>cfu ml<sup>-1</sup> for single strains and also with a combination of the two. For the two stages of fermentation (i.e. LAB and Alcoholic (Yeast), the pH

and cell number values compared favourably with those of commercial *dolo*.

Sensory evaluation of *dolo* produced with starter cultures: Yeast strain AC17 in combination with either LAB strain produced *dolo* with one or more attributes much more comparable to commercial *dolo* than yeast strain TK25 (Table 3).

**Table 2:** pH of growth medium and cell numbers of starters during fermentation of *dolo* in field trials.

Parameter	*Time (t)	Dolo wort	LAB fermentations		*Time (t)	Alcoholic (Yeast) fermentations			Commercial Dolo
			ZN4.1	SF6.1a		AC17	TK25	AC17 + TK25	
PH	$t_0$	5.9±0.07	5.2±0.10	5.1±0.02	$t_0$	$3.6 \pm 0.13$	3.6±0.10	3.6±0.11	-
	<b>t</b> 10		3.5±0.17	3.5±0.13	t <sub>12</sub>	$3.5 \pm 0.05$	$3.5 \pm 0.06$	3.5±0.10	3.2±0.1
Cell density (cfu ml <sup>-1</sup> )	t <sub>0</sub>	0.0	0.1±0.04 (x 10 <sup>6</sup> )	0.2±0.05 (x 10 <sup>6</sup> )	t <sub>0</sub>	1.6±0.17 (x 10 <sup>6</sup> )	0.9±0.50 (x 10 <sup>6</sup> )	1.4±0.68 (x 10 <sup>6</sup> )	-
	t <sub>12</sub>		0.7±0.31 (x 10 <sup>9</sup> )	1.5±0.35 (x 10 <sup>9</sup> )	t <sub>12</sub>	2.6±1.07 (x 10 <sup>7</sup> )	2.1±1.39 (x 10 <sup>7</sup> )	5.7±4.30 (x 10 <sup>7</sup> )	2.8±0.00 (x 10 <sup>7</sup> )

LAB= lactic acid bacteria (*Lactobacillus fermentum* strains); Yeast = (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains); \* time = fermentation time;  $t_0$  = fermentation time at 0hour;  $t_{10}$  = fermentation time at 10hours;  $t_{12}$  = fermentation time at 12hours; values shown indicate mean of four independent readings  $\pm$  SD.



Starter combination	Characteristic					
	Taste	Aroma	Mouth feel			
ZN4.1 + TK 25	5.93 ± 1.08	4.42 ± 0.01	4.03 ± 0.17			
ZN4.1 + AC 17	4.13 ±1.04	$4.01 \pm 0.70$	$3.63 \pm 1.37$			
SF6.1a + TK 25	$5.06 \pm 0.98$	$6.54 \pm 1.56$	$5.92 \pm 0.95$			
SF6.1a + AC17	$4.70 \pm 1.30$	$5.43 \pm 0.57$	$4.09 \pm 0.49$			
ZN4.1 + AC 17 + TK 25	$3.50 \pm 0.00$	$5.50 \pm 0.00$	$3.16 \pm 0.00$			
SF6.1a + AC 17 + TK 25	$2.58 \pm 0.42$	$3.96 \pm 0.30$	$5.50 \pm 1.00$			

**Table 3:** Sensory evaluation of *dolo* (average ratings of panelists in laboratory and field trials).

**Legend:** Evaluation scale used varies from 0—not different from commercial *dolo*; to10—extremely different from commercial *dolo*; ZN4.1 & SF6.1a = *Lactobacillus fermentum* strains from Burkina Faso; AC17 & TK 25 = *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains from Ghana

According to the results yeast strain AC17 in combination with LAB strain ZN4.1 produced *dolo* with average ratings for mouth feel, aroma and taste being 3.6, 4.0 and 4.1, respectively, while a combination of yeast strain TK25 and LAB strain ZN4.1 gave *dolo* with average ratings of 4.0, 4.4 and 5.93 for the three parameters, respectively. A similar pattern was observed for combinations of either yeast strain with LAB strain SF6.1a though ratings were much lower. Double-strain combination of both yeast strains with LAB strain SF6.1a produced *dolo* of more acceptable

taste and aroma than with LAB strain ZN4.1 which gave *dolo* of better mouth feel as observed with the single-strain combinations of each of the yeast strains with LAB strain ZN4.1. *Dolo* produced with double yeast starter combinations with each LAB strain (i.e. SF6.1a + AC 17 + TK 25 and ZN4.1 + AC 17 + TK 25) had minimum mean ratings of 2.58 and 3.16 for taste and mouth feel respectively, and was not significantly different from commercial *dolo* ( $t_{1-\alpha/2} = t_{0.95} = 3.17$ ) at 5% confidence level (p< 0.05)

### DISCUSSION

The observed drop in pH of sorghum mash during acidification (lactic fermentation) from 4.9 to 3.4 (laboratory trials) and 5.2 to 3.5 (field trials) is necessary for yeast growth and elimination of spoilage bacteria and moulds. The observed lower initial pH of sorghum mash of 4.9 during acidification in the laboratory trials as compared to the 5.2 of the field trials could have resulted from the reheating and concentration of the mash after it had been taken from the producer for the laboratory trials. This action ostensibly could have facilitated the production of more lactic acid by the LAB from the concentrated carbohydrate. Statistically, however, this difference was insignificant. During alcoholic (yeast) fermentation pH dropped from 3.5 to 3.4 (laboratory trials) and 3.6 to 3.5 (field trials). This final pH is normal for dolo which like pito is known to have a pH value ranging between 3.4 and 3.6 (Sefa-Dedeh, 1991), Glover et al. (2005) also reported an initial pH of pito wort in the acidic range of 3.58, this experiencing very little change over the 12h alcoholic fermentation stage with values ranging between 3.39 and 3.55. Again, the minimal drop in pH during alcoholic fermentation was similar to findings by Damiani et al. (1996) who found in wheat sourdough that the pH did not drop below 5.4 in yeast started dough compared to values between 3.72 and 4.35 for dough started with lactic acid bacteria or with associations of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts. This pH, it is believed, ensures preservation of the final product from spoilage microorganisms.

The observed significant increase in cell numbers of LAB within 10 hours (10<sup>6</sup> –10<sup>9</sup> cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>) under both laboratory and field trial conditions is advantageous in providing enough enzymes to hydrolyze the polysaccharides, proteins and lipids to give the taste, aroma and mouth feel that is pleasant and attractive to *dolo* consumers (Glover *et al.*, 2005). Gassem (2002) reported lactic acid bacteria counts ranging from 4.01 to 8.19 log cfu per ml in *sobia*, a traditional fermented beverage from wheat and malt flours in the Western province of Saudi Arabia.

The moderate increase in yeast cell numbers  $(10^6 - 10^7 \text{ cfu ml}^{-1})$  within 12 hours under both test conditions was suitable for producing the desirable alcohol level of 2-3% (v/v) as well as moderate quantities of amino acids, vitamins and proteins (Ekundayo, 1969; Bansah, 1990).



Wood and Hodges (1985) and Martinez-Anava et al., (1990) have suggested that interactions between lactic acid bacteria and yeasts during the production of fermented foods involve a "symbiotic" association due to mutual growth stimulation based on their amino acids and carbohydrate metabolisms. A rapid growth of lactic acid bacteria lowers the pH favouring yeast growth during the subsequent alcoholic (yeast) fermentation stage (Yong & Wood, 1976; Jespersen et al., 1994). According to Gobbetti et al. (1994), growth of S. cerevisiae 141 was enhanced from 107 to 108 cfu g-1 during wheat sourdough fermentation due to the ability of the yeast to sequentially utilize free amino acids produced by lactic acid bacteria. They further maintained that this stable co-metabolism between the LAB and yeasts is common to many African indigenous fermented foods, enabling the utilization of substances that are otherwise non-fermentable (e.g. starch) and thus increasing the adaptability of these microbes to complex food systems.

The ability of combinations of either LAB strain with yeast strain AC17 to produce dolo that is more comparable to the traditional product than combinations with yeast strain TK 25 is rather surprising as TK25 is known to have a broader carbohydrate assimilation spectrum (9 sugars) than AC17 (4 sugars)( Glover et al., 2005). Perhaps, as suggested by Sefa-Dedeh et al. (1999), the yeast strains were involved in the assimilation of nutrients for cell growth to the detriment of fermentation activities to enhance flavour and general acceptability of the final product. Thus LAB strain ZN4.1 in combination with yeast strain AC17 gave good dolo in all attributes evaluated. Teniola and Odunfa (2001) reported the use of mixed cultures of S. cerevisiae and Lactobacillus brevis for fermentation of Nigerian ogi, resulting in a product much more improved in terms of acceptability and increased concentrations of lysine and methionine.

Double-strain starter culture combinations of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts have been reported in several studies on sourdough to produce more aroma compounds and in many cases to improve flavour than when used individually (Martinez-Anaya *et al.*, 1990; Hansen & Hansen, 1994; Meignen *et al.*, 2001).

## **REFERENCES**

Annan NT, Poll L, Sefa-Dedeh S, Plahar WA, Jakobsen M, 2003. Influence of starter culture combinations of Lactobacillus fermentum, Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Candida

According to Annan et al. (2003), one of the major aims of isolating starter cultures for use in the production of fermented foods has is to ensure consistency and to preserve the unique flavour, aroma and texture attributes of these products. The fact that isolates of S. cerevisiae from African fermented products have properties different from those of well recognized starter cultures (Hayford & Jespersen, 1999; van der Aa Kühle et al., 2001; Glover et al., 2005) demonstrates that starter cultures for indigenous fermented foods and beverages should be isolated from the products they are supposed to be used for, and selected according to the technological properties required for the actual type of product (Jespersen, 2003). Our study, which involved the use of strains of lactic acid bacteria and yeast isolated from dolo from Burkina Faso and pito from Ghana, is yet another example of isolating strains of microorganisms from African fermented foods and beverages and thereafter successfully using them as starter cultures.

The present study has generated information on the isolation of dominant microorganisms (lactic acid bacteria and yeast) from commercially -produced dolo and using them as starter cultures under both laboratory and pilot-scale conditions to get products of organoleptic quality quite similar to that of the commercial product. Single and double-strain combinations of the starter cultures have been used successfully to produce dolo of different quality indices. Single -strain combinations of LAB strain ZN4.1 and veast strain AC 17 produced dolo that was most comparable to the traditional product while doublestrain combination SF6.1a + AC 17 + TK 25 produced of more comparable acceptability combination ZN4.1 + AC 17 + TK 25

Varied combinations of the starters should be investigated in order to discover a suitable combination for producing *dolo* possessing all the desired organoleptic qualities and consistency.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:** This study was sponsored by the Danish International Development Assistance (DANIDA) and the Governments of the Republics of Ghana and Burkina Faso.

*krusei* on aroma in Ghanaian maize dough fermentation. Eur Food Res Technol. 216: 377-384.



- Aucamp MC, Grieff L, Novellie L, Papendick B, Schwartz HM, Steer AG, 1961. Kaffircorn malting and brewing studies. VIII. Nutritive value of some kaffircorn products. J. Sci. Food Agric.12: 449-456.
- Bansah D, 1990. Traditional brewing of *pito*: Process and product characteristics. M.Phil Thesis, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana.
- Damiani P, Gobbetti M, Cossignani L, Corsetti A, Simonetti MS, Rossi J, 1996. The sourdough microflora. Characterization of hetero-and homofermentative lactic acid bacteria, yeasts and their interactions on the basis of the volatile compounds produced. L. Wiss. Technol. 29: 63-70.
- Demuyakor B. and Ohta Y, 1991. Characteristics of *pito* yeasts from Ghana. Food Microbiol. 8: 183-193
- Ekundayo JA, 1969. The production of *pito*, a Nigerian fermented beverage. Journal of Food Technology 4: 217-225.
- Ekundayo JA, 1977. Nigerian pito. Symposium on Indigenous Fermented Foods, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Gassem MAA, 2002. A microbiological study of *Sobia*, a fermented beverage in the Western province of Saudi Arabia. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 18: 173-177.
- Gobbetti M, Corsetti A, Rossi J, 1994. The sourdough microflora. Interactions between lactic acid bacteria and yeasts: metabolism of amino acids. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 10: 275-279.
- Glover RLK, Abaidoo RC, Jakobsen M, Jespersen L, 2005. Biodiversity of Saccharomyces cerevisiae isolated from a survey of pito production sites in various parts of Ghana. Sys. Appl. Microbiol. 28 (8): 755-761.
- Haggblade S. and Holzapfel WH, 1989. Industrialization of Africa's indigenous beer brewing. <u>In:</u>

  Industrialization of Indigenous Fermented Foods, Steinkraus K.H. (Editor), Marcel Dekker, New York. 191-283.
- Hansen B. and Hansen A, 1994. Volatile compounds in wheat sourdoughs produced by lactic acid bacteria and sourdough yeasts. Z. L. Unters. Forsch. 198: 202-209.
- Hayford AE. and Jespersen L, 1999. Characterization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains from spontaneously fermented maize dough by profiles of assimilation, chromosome

- polymorphism, PCR and *MAL* genotyping. J. Appl. Microbiol. 86: 284-294.
- Jespersen L, Halm M, Kpodo K, Jakobsen M, 1994. Significance of yeasts and moulds occurring in maize dough fermentation for *kenkey* production. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 24: 239-249.
- Jespersen L, 2003. Occurrence and taxonomic characteristics of strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* predominant in African indigenous fermented foods and beverages. FEMS Yeast Research 3: 191-200.
- Konlani S, Delgenes JP, Moletta R, Traore A, Doh A,1996. Isolation and physiological characterization of yeasts involved in sorghum beer production. Food Biotechnol 10: 29-44.
- Martinez-Anaya MA, José Torner M, Benedito de Barber C, 1990.Microflora of the sour dough wheat flour bread. XIV. Changes in volatile compounds during fermentation of doughs prepared with pure microorganisms and their mixtures. Z. L. Unters. Forsch. 190: 126-131.
- Meignen B, Onno B, Gélinas P, Infantes M, Guilois S, Cahagnier B, 2001. Optimization of sourdough fermantation with *Lactobacillus* brevis and baker's yeast. Food Microbiol. 18: 239-245.
- Novellie L, 1963. Bantu beer: food or beverage? Food Ind., South Afr. 16: 28.
- Owuama CI, 1997. Sorghum: a cereal with lager beer brewing potential. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 13: 253-260.
- Platt BS, 1964. Biological ennoblement: Improvement of the nutritive value of foods and dietary regimens by biological agencies. Food Technol.18: 662-670.
- Sanni AI, 1993. The need for process optimization of African fermented foods and beverages. Int. J. Food Microbiol.18: 85-95.
- Sanni AI. and Lonner C, 1993. Identification of yeasts isolated from Nigerian traditional alcoholic beverages. Food Microbiology 10: 517-523.
- Sanni AI, Onilude AA, Fadahusi IF, Afolabi RO,1999.

  Microbial deterioration of traditional alcoholic beverages in Nigeria. Food Res Int. 32: 163-167.
- Sawadogo-Lingani H, Lei V, Diawara B, Nielsen DS, Møller PL, Traoré AS, Jakobsen M, 2007. The biodiversity of predominant lactic acid bacteria in *dolo* and *pito* wort, for production of sorghum beer. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* In Press.



- Sefa-Dedeh S, 1991. Cereal-based Traditional Beverages from Ghana: Process and Product Characteristics of Pito. In: Proceedings of IFS Regional Workshop on Traditional African Foods—Quality and Nutrition. A. Westby, P.J.A Reilly, (Editors), Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, pp.1-7.
- Sefa-Dedeh S, Sanni AI, Tetteh G, Sakyi-Dawson E, 1999. Yeasts in the traditional brewing of *pito* in Ghana. World Journal of Microbiology & Biotechnology 15, 593-597.
- Teniola OD. and Odunfa SA, 2001. The effects of processing methods on the level of lysine and methionine and the general acceptability of *ogi*

- processed using starter cultures. Int. J Food Microbiol. 63: 1-9.
- van der Aa Kühle A, Jespersen L, Glover RLK, Diawara B, Jakobsen M, 2001. Identification and characterization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains isolated from West African sorghum beer. Yeast 18: 1069-1079.
- Wood BJB. and Hodges MM, 1985. Yeast-lactic acid bacteria interactions and their contribution to fermented foodstuffs. In: Wood BJB (Editor) Microbiology of fermented Foods, Vol 1, Elsevier Science Publishers, London, New York, pp 263-293.
- Yong FM. and Wood BJB, 1976. J. Food Technol. 11: 525-536.

